information he knows about -- as a layman, as to what this 1 2 kind of property is going for in that area, if you know. 3 not sure I see what the relevance of it is because everything 4 that was -- whatever interest he had was cut off in July of 5 1992. You're asking the values in 1982? MR. McCARTIN: The sole question and it's the only 6 7 question I have on this area is since 1985, have the land 8 prices in the Sierra Vista area gone up, down, or remained 9 approximately the same? 10 They've doubled. WITNESS: 11 BY MR. McCARTIN: 12 0 Now, you've mentioned in your answer to that last 13 question that you planted certain trees and I believe that was 14 in connection with the easement that was granted by the 15 government -- by you to the government. Would you please 16 describe -- because I was a little unclear before -- exactly 17 what the terms of this easement were? 18 Well, the terms of the easement, Number One and 19 primary, was to not build any additional residences or 20 buildings of any nature on the property, to plant, maintain, 21 water, and grow 150 Arizona cyprus trees along the northern 22 border of my property to block the view of the homes so they 23 could not been seen as visitors would visit the National Park 24 and drive by my property to get to the main visitor's center. 25

Mr. Richards, do you have in front of you a copy of

Q

1	Mass Media Bureau Exhibit Four?
2	A Yes, I do.
3	Q Did you have a chance to examine this document over
4	lunch today?
5	A Yes, I did.
6	Q Can you determine were you able to determine
7	approximately when that document was prepared?
8	A Well, I think it was prepared somewhere in the late
9	70's, early 80's '77 to '80 period.
10	Q And what was the purpose of the document?
11	A I think the purpose of this document was to clarify
12	between my cousin Terry and myself as to how much money was
13	owed to him, what I had paid to him, and what I determined to
14	be the different types of marijuana.
15	Q Mr. Richards, was it your habit to purchase
16	marijuana in large quantities such as reflected in Item Four
17	of Mass Media Bureau Exhibit Four?
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's really a leading question.
19	Your answer suggests your question suggests an answer.
20	MR. McCARTIN: May I rephrase it?
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: You can try.
22	BY MR. McCARTIN:
23	Q In what quantities was did you have a habit as
24	to the amount of marijuana you would buy at a particular time?
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: You're really asking what it a

1	practice did he have a practice as opposed to a habit. I
2	think he had a habit back then.
3	MR. McCARTIN: That's a poor choice of words, Your
4	Honor. Thank you.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: What was your practice with respect
6	to quantities in general?
7	WITNESS: Well, I would always buy if the
8	marijuana was good. Now, this is all based on if it was good.
9	If it was not good, of course, I wouldn't buy it because it's
10	for my personal use, so therefore, I only want the best.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Don't make the story too seamy now.
12	WITNESS: Well, I would buy all I could if there
13	was ten pounds, twelve pounds, thirteen pounds, six pounds,
14	whatever it would be, I would buy it all if it was of the
15	quality that I wanted.
16	BY MR. McCARTIN:
17	Q Why is that?
18	A Well, because the quality that I was used to smoking
19	didn't come along very often, so you had to buy it and pay for
20	it or it was gone.
21	Q Let me refer you, again, to Mass Media Bureau
22	Exhibit Four and Item Number Six about which you testified in
23	response to Mr. Zauner's questions and it reads as I
24	recall, you testified, "1 1/4 pounds, green loose that was in
25	trunk after I left w/6,300."

1	A What does that mean to me?
2	Q Well, let me ask the question. Do you see do you
3	have in mind where I'm
4	A Yes. I put my finger on it.
5	Q What does that entry mean?
6	A Well, that means to me that there was 1 1/4 pounds
7	of green loose which would've been a lower quality that was in
8	my trunk after I left it there and it was encompassed in this
9	6,300 it was with this \$6,300 that's also on Exhibit Five,
10	part of the \$7,000 total and part of Number Four, when it says
11	paid 6,300. So it was it was a pound and a quarter of
12	green loose that was in the trunk that I left in the trunk and
13	it was part of the 6,300 that I already had paid and to the
14	right, there was \$700 due.
15	Q Now, let me move to another topic. When did you
16	first grow excuse me.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go off the record a minute.
18	(Off the record.)
19	(On the record.)
20	MR. McCARTIN: Your Honor, I may have missed an
21	answer to a question. But just to make sure that I didn't,
22	I'd like to ask it again, and if I did, I beg your indulgence.
23	BY MR. McCARTIN:
24	Q Again, referring to Mass Media Bureau Exhibit Four,
25	why did you keep that record?

1	A	Why was the record established?
2	Q	Yes.
3	A	Well, to keep a clarity between my cousin and I as
4	to what I	owed him and what he gave me.
5	Q	Thank you. Now, let me move on. When did you first
6	grow mari	juana on the National Park adjacent to your ranch?
7	A	The summer of 1991.
8	Q	And why did you grow the marijuana there for the
9	first tim	e at that point?
10	A	Well, I grew it there so I wouldn't subject the
11	property	to be lost in case anything ever happened and I grew
12	it in tha	t volume to supply my needs because the price of
13	marijuana	had more than doubled and tripled from my older
14	purchases	in prior years.
15	Q	You also testified in response to questions from Mr.
16	Zauner ab	out approximately a hundred marijuana plants that you
17	pulled up	from your own property. Do you recall that
18	testimony	?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Did you plant that marijuana on your property?
21	A	The hundred plants? No.
22	Q	Do you know how the marijuana grew came to grow
23	on your p	roperty?
24	A	My opinion? How I
25	Q	Do you know?

1	A Well, in 1988, the D.E.A. had me work with them on a
2	group of Mexicans who were smuggling over 550 pounds of
3	commercial, seeded sativa, marijuana, and it was stored in
4	this area until the D.E.A. came and picked it up and took it
5	to Tucson and arrested the individuals who were responsible.
6	Q What connection does that have to the how that
7	marijuana came to grow in that place?
8	A Well, there was 20-some bails of wrapped in plastic
9	bags that were in the process of them smuggling, going through
10	the brush, and things ripped open and my only thought is, is
11	that this seed fell out of there when it was being unloaded
12	and stashed and put in a pile and put a tarp over the top of
13	it and then that stood there for until I was farming close
14	to it and the water then, from the sprinklers, went over and
15	wetted it and it started to grow.
16	Q Why then did you pull these plants up and take them
17	away?
18	A Well, Number One, I was leaving to go to Chicago. I
19	didn't want to take a chance. I didn't know what the origin
20	
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Chance on what?
22	WITNESS: Of losing the property by not being there
23	and then having this stuff growing and then taking the chance
24	that somebody may find it and then I would be subject to
25	losing my property as a result of it growing on the property.

1	Plus I didn't know whether it was sativa or indica and I
2	wasn't interested in anything but indica. So it would be just
3	a kind of a waste of time to be taking the liability and
4	then you don't even know what you've got.
5	BY MR. McCARTIN:
6	Q What type of marijuana did you grow on the Park land
7	next to your property?
8	A Indica.
9	Q How do you spell that?
10	A I-N-D-I-C-A.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: And what was the lesser?
12	WITNESS: Sativa.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: How do you spell that?
14	WITNESS: S-A-T-I-V-A.
15	MR. McCARTIN: Your Honor, if I could have a moment
16	to consult my notes, I believe I'm done.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. While you're doing that,
18	I'm going to let you go last Mr. Zauner on this. I want to
19	just clarify one thing in my own mind or two things. With
20	respect to this batch of marijuana that you ultimately took up
21	and threw away, when did you first notice it growing?
22	WITNESS: Probably about July 1st.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Of what year?
24	WITNESS: 1991.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: And when did you pull it up?

1	WITNESS: July 4th.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: How tall was it when you pulled it
3	up?
4	WITNESS: (Witness indicates length with hands.)
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: You're holding your hands up about a
6	foot.
7	WITNESS: Well, some were as high as ten inches,
8	ten, twelve and some was five, six.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: From six to twelve inches.
10	WITNESS: It was all grouped in together in a close
11	area, so I mean, they were all just like this.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: I see what your hands are showing me,
13	that they were close together. But the point is, is that you
14	earlier had described in your testimony that the size of the
15	area was approximately the size of this space here in the
16	courtroom. That's what you first testified to this morning.
17	WITNESS: Well, that's the area where they were,
18	yes.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's the area that they were. Was
20	that whole area filled up with it?
21	WITNESS: Oh, no, no. I mean, solid like this? No.
22	No, there was I didn't count them.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Give me square feet. How many square
24	feet of this was growing? What by what? Five feet by five
25	feet?

1	WITNESS: Six by six.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Six by six?
3	WITNESS: Six by eight.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Six by eight?
5	WITNESS: Somewhere around there.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, six by eight a six by
7	eight plot and
8	WITNESS: Some here, some there, some here, some
9	there. In other words, it's wherever this seed had dropped,
10	it then came up in that area.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's your spec
12	WITNESS: It wasn't tight. But it was tight in
13	clumps.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: But in the individual clumps, it was
15	tight.
16	WITNESS: Yes.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: But so you had about could you
18	estimate how many tight clumps did you have in this general
19	area? Twenty tight clumps? Ten tight clumps?
20	WITNESS: I'd say maybe ten.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Maybe ten, maybe fifteen, something
22	like that. Ten, twelve.
23	WITNESS: Give or take ten.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Give or take ten, okay. You've got
25	ten tight clumps in an area of about six by eight square feet,

1	right?
2	WITNESS: Yes.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: I mean, this is your best estimate.
4	And the height was from six inches to twelve inches.
5	WITNESS: Correct.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, how long did it take to grow to
7	the twelve-inch height?
8	WITNESS: I don't know. I only noticed it on July
9	first.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: I know, but you've established
11	yourself pretty much as kind of the expert.
12	WITNESS: How long did well, I would say
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: How much how much time does it
14	take to get up to a foot?
15	WITNESS: From seed to that, about three weeks.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Three weeks?
17	WITNESS: Yeah.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: And you discovered it, you said, on
19	the first and you tore it out on the fourth.
20	WITNESS: Correct.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: So three days. What were you
22	thinking about over that three-day period with respect to that
23	patch of marijuana?
24	WITNESS: I was thinking what to do with it.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: You didn't quite know what to do with

1 lit. Yeah. I didn't know what -- you know, 2 WITNESS: 3 whether I should just cut it down. But then I thought if I 4 just cut it down, this stuff is going to come back and I thought of just going out there and breaking it off, you know, 5 or trying to pull it out and I thought, well, if I leave some 6 7 roots in there, then maybe this thing's going to come back. 8 So what we did is go down there with a shovel. 9 had the assistance of a friend of mine. We went down there 10 with a shovel and dug it and cut the roots off and took dirt 11 and root, the whole thing so that we would get everything, and 12 dug up the whole area and pulled everything out and put it in 13 my van and drove it about 40-some miles to the San Pedro River 14 and dumped it over the bridge into the river. 15 JUDGE SIPPEL: And had you formulated an opinion at 16 that time that this marijuana was the result of what was being 17 illegally transported by this group that you testified to 18 earlier, the Mexican group? 19 WITNESS: Well, that's the only conclusion I could 20 come up with. 21 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, when did you -- yeah, I know 22 that. But when did you come up with that conclusion? 23 WITNESS: Well, right after the arrest and then the 24

whole thing came out and I read everybody's deposition and

then I was asked questions about it and I tried to piece it

25

1	together as to when and what
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: And what was the date of your arrest?
3	WITNESS: July 25th.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: So then what you hadn't formed any
5	opinion between July first and July fourth as to how that got
6	there?
7	WITNESS: No. Well, my dad was dying and I was
8	running around, not only just concerned with that marijuana,
9	but I had I had to take care of watering, I had to allocate
10	people to continue harvest crops, I had to do a lot of things
11	so that I could be free to go. So I mean, I had too many
12	other things on my mind. I just wanted to get it over with
13	and get it out of there and rest in my mind, assured that I'm
14	not leaving, jeopardizing my property.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: And were you what was the period
16	of time that you were working with the D.E.A. with respect to
17	that operation you testified to?
18	WITNESS: 1988 and '89.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: And did it terminate did that
20	was that operation I'll call that an operation. Was that
21	operation over in 1989 insofar as you were working with the
22	D.E.A. on that project?
23	WITNESS: Actually, I yeah, it was you know,
24	it happened and it was over with within a couple of days.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: And that group

1	WITNESS: And I think it was around 1989.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: It was over when that group was
3	captured?
4	WITNESS: Yes.
_	
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: If they were captured in 1989, how
6	did the and you say that it takes maybe three weeks for it
7	to grow a foot. How did the what is your explanation as to
8	how the seedlings got on there three weeks prior to July 1,
9	1991? Because the banditos had already been captured.
10	WITNESS: Oh, okay. How did this happen? How did
11	it come about? Well, in the process of farming on the ranch,
12	the Montezuma Ranch, I was clearing ground to farm these
13	carrots and so I cleared ground and abutted up about twenty
14	feet away from what we call the range land. Just natural
15	grasses. So I was farming carrots within twenty feet of where
16	this pile had been put by these Mexicans.
17	And then we have a lot of windy conditions. So
18	while I was irrigating the carrots and the winds came from
19	different directions, the spray would go twenty, thirty, fifty
20	feet in one direction and then if the wind blew the other way
21	the next day, it would go the other way. So in the process
22	there of planting the carrots and the garlic in a new area and
23	setting up that new area for irrigation, the water went
24	further over and sprouted those seeds and they came up.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. I understand your

1	explanation with respect to the water getting to the seeds.
2	But what I don't understand is how, if the group of Mexican
3	smugglers had been apprehended by the D.E.A. sometime around
4	1989 and you say that it takes but three weeks to grow these
5	from seedlings to a foot high, how can you be how can there
6	be an assurance
7	WITNESS: There's no water
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: that it was the Mexicans that put
9	the seedlings there? Because they were in jail
10	WITNESS: Well, they didn't put the seedlings there.
11	All that happened was, in my theory, was that the seeds fell
12	out of the twenty-some bales that the Mexican smugglers had
13	brought over, put in a pile, and put a tarp over it in '89.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: Are you sure that's the location that
15	they had deposited it?
16	WITNESS: Oh, yeah. It was right in that area
17	exactly.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. By saying that, let me
19	ask the question again. Assuming all of that happened that
20	way, the bales, I take it, were picked up by the D.E.A. at the
21	same at or before the time well, the bales were gone
22	from that area by the time the Mexican group had been
23	arrested. Is that correct?
24	WITNESS: Correct.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: That was late 1989.

1	WITNESS: Correct.	
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Why did it take so long for this	
3	marijuana to sprout up between the end of 1989 and it would be	
4	sometime in June of 1991 by your estimate?	
5	WITNESS: There wasn't no water. We're not like	
6	here. I mean, if we get we don't get that much rain. You	
7	can't grow anything there except native cactus and things like	
8	that that require very little water. You can't grow a crop of	
9	carrots	
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh, this is just sitting there	
11	dormant?	
12	WITNESS: Dormant, exactly.	
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: So there could be on your	
14	property, there could be	
15	WITNESS: There could be more.	
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: On your former property, there could	
17	be all kinds of dormant seeds and if there was a miraculous	
18	rainfall sometime	
19	WITNESS: You could sprout up again.	
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: up it would come. Is that your	
21	testimony?	
22	WITNESS: Exactly.	
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's all I have. Mr. Zauner?	
24	MR. ZAUNER: I have no questions.	
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: No questions?	

1	MR. McCARTIN: No questions, Your Honor.	
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Anything else?	
3	MR. McCARTIN: None, Your Honor.	
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. That's it. It's 4:30.	
5	Do you want to keep this witness under oath? Do you	
6	anticipate recalling him for anything?	
7	MR. McCARTIN: No, Your Honor. I don't.	
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Then you're excused as a witness, Mr.	
9	Richards.	
10	WITNESS: Thank you.	
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: We'll be proceeding you may return	
12	to this proceeding any time you want. That's up to you and	
13	your Counsel. But you're finished as far as asking questions.	
14	WITNESS: Are we coming back tomorrow?	
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, we're not coming back tomorrow.	
16	We're going to have to wait until we hear from your attorneys	
17	as to how they're going to handle that. Now, I do want to,	
18	however, before we pack up here very briefly, I'm going to	
19	say two things. Under Rule 1003 of the Federal Rules of	
20	Evidence, which permits duplicates admissibility of	
21	duplicates unless there's a genuine question of authenticity	
22	or the use of the duplicate would be unfair and I don't find	
23	that there's any genuine issue on authenticity or that their	
24	use of the duplicates would be unfair. I am going to receive	
25	into evidence at this time the Bureau's Exhibits Seven and	

1 Eight. (Whereupon, the documents referred 2 to as Bureau Exhibit Nos. Seven and 3 Eight were received into evidence.) JUDGE SIPPEL: However, I'm receiving them on the 5 6 same condition as the condition I set with respect to Exhibit That is since these are the first time you've seen 7 8 these, Mr. McCartin, if you have a basis -- in respect, it 9 would be a motion to strike for some reason that you're able 10 to determine between now and the next time we meet and I do 11 understand that this is short notice to you, but I want to 12 leave this record as close -- as clear as I can rather before 13 we adjourn today. 14 The other thing I want to require -- I'm going to 15 require is that by 10:00 Friday morning, I want at least a 16 telephone status report from one of the Counsel in terms of 17 I mean, if where -- when the next hearing date's going to be. 18 you don't know by then, I want to know that you don't know. 19 And certainly, if you know -- if you determine something 20 earlier, please let me know. 21 Ultimately, there's going to have to be a motion 22 filed for the continuance with a specific date. But right 23 now, we'll handle it informally by phone. Counsel has been 24 very -- very much attune to keeping on schedule in this case 25 in an informal way. I think you've done excellent work in

```
terms of cooperating, so I don't see any need to do anything
 1
   more than just put this on the record. Does anybody have
 2
    anything more?
 3
              MR. McCARTIN: Nothing, Your Honor.
 4
              JUDGE SIPPEL: We're in recess -- it's 25 minutes to
 5
    five. We're in recess until my further call. Thank you.
 6
              (Whereupon, at 4:35 p.m. on Tuesday, December 7,
 7
    1993, the hearing adjourned.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

IN RE APPLICATION	OF RICHARD RICHARDS
Name	
MM DOCKET NO. 93-	176
Docket No.	
WASHINGTON, D.C.	
Place	
DECEMBER 7, 1993	
Date	
true, accurate an reporting by the above identife provisions of the professional verbook and have verbook and have type recording accomplinal proofed types.	through 167, inclusive, are the d complete transcript prepared from the MARYKAE FLEISHMAN in attendance at ied proceeding, in accordance with applicable current Federal Communications Commission's eatim reporting and transcription Statement of ified the accuracy of the transcript by (1) sewritten transcript against the reporting or ished at the proceeding and (2) comparing the sewritten transcript against the reporting or ished at the proceeding.
December 17, 1993	
Date	Marykae Fleishman , Transcriber Free State Reporting, Inc.
	`.
December 20, 1993	The state of the s
Date	Diane S. Windell , Proofreader Free State Reporting, Inc.
December 20, 1993 Date	Maykae Fleishman
va ce	Marykae Fieishman , Reporter Free State Reporting, Inc.